

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,562	1	08/20/2001	David H. Parker	17645-130	0 6565	
33717	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
-		URIG LLP	TON, ANABEL			
SANTA MC		VENUE, SUITE 400 A 90404	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2875		
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/933,562	PARKER ET AL.	PARKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit)	
	Anabel M Ton	2875	197	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	'ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. .136(a). In no event, however, may a repl eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (i d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comi	· munication.	
Status	·		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u>August 2004</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the n	nerits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-41,43-46,48-55,57-59,61 and 63-	76 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25,43-46,48-55</u> € <u>37-59,61,74,75</u> is	s/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 26,27,30,32,33,35-40,63,67-71 and	76 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>28,29,34,38,39,41,64-69,72,73</u> is/ai	re objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nta haya haan ragaiyad			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		dication No		
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri			tage	
application from the International Bure		`		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ceived.		
	•			
Attachmant(a)				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)		
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	50)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	
S Patent and Trademark Office	,—			

Application/Control Number: 09/933,562

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 26,27,30,31,32,33,35-40,63,67-71 and 76 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 26,27,30,31,32,33,35-40,63,67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hon.
- 3. With regards to claims 26,27,30-33,35 and 36 Hon discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of "the handle is formed of elements cut out from a structure forming the handle so as to enhance lightness of the handle while at the same time retaining structural strength". With regards to the description "so as to enhance lightness of the handle while at the same time retaining structural strength" this is considered to be a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as

Art Unit: 2875

compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). With regards to the handle being formed of elements cut out from a structure forming the handle, Hon satisfies the limitation of the handle being cut out from a structure, since "structure" as recited in the claim is vague.

- 4. With regards to claims 37 and 63 Hon discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of "the handle and barrel are ergonomically structured to promote balance in the flashlight". With regards to this limitation, there is no structure recited in the claim to make this limitation distinguish over the prior art of reference, furthermore, reference numeral 20 of Hon teaches a tripod socket which enables the flashlight to be balanced on the base of the handle without tipping forward or backward which satisfies this limitation.
- 5. With regards to claims 40,70 and 71, Hon teaches the claimed invention except for the recitation of the barrel being substantially egg shaped from a forward end which the forward end is substantially truncated effectively creating a half egg shape to a rear end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a half egg shape to the barrel of the housing of Hon since matters relating to ornamentation only which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. *In re Seid*, 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947).
- 1. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as being unpatentable over Hon and further in view of Jones et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,562

Art Unit: 2875

2. Hon discloses a barrel the barrel being for mounting batteries such that when the batteries are in the barrel, an array of several batteries are in side by side relationship radially around a central longitudinal axis extending through the barrel a lamp a switch a circuit the switch being for opening and closing the circuit the circuit being between the batteries and the lamp a lens, a cap for the barrel and a pistol grip handle extending transversely from the barrel. Jones discloses a reflective surface with substantially hemispherical protrusions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a reflector as taught by Jones in the device of Hon for the purpose of providing a flashlight with enhanced emitted light.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner